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• Paging
• Swapping and demand paging
• Virtual memory
Paging

- What is paging?
  - What problem does it solve?
  - How does it do so?
- Paged address translation
- Paging and fragmentation
- Paging memory management units
- Paging and segmentation
Segmentation Revisited

• Segment relocation solved the relocation problem for us
• It used base registers to compute a physical address from a virtual address
  – Allowing us to move data around in physical memory
  – By only updating the base register
• It did nothing about external fragmentation
  – Because segments are still required to be contiguous
• We need to eliminate the “contiguity requirement”
The Paging Approach

- Divide physical memory into units of a single fixed size
  - A pretty small one, like 1-4K bytes or words
  - Typically called a *page frame*
- Treat the virtual address space in the same way
- For each virtual address space page, store its data in one physical address page frame
- Use some magic per-page translation mechanism to convert virtual to physical pages
Paged Address Translation

process virtual address space

physical memory
Paging and Fragmentation

• A segment is implemented as a set of virtual pages

• Internal fragmentation
  – Averages only $\frac{1}{2}$ page (half of the last one)

• External fragmentation
  – Completely non-existent
  – We never carve up pages
How Does This Compare To Segment Fragmentation?

• Consider this scenario:
  – Average requested allocation is 128K
  – 256K fixed size segments available
  – In the paging system, 4K pages

• For segmentation, average internal fragmentation is 50% (128K of 256K used)

• For paging?
  – Only the last page of an allocation is not full
  – On average, half of it is unused, or 2K
  – So 2K of 128K is wasted, or around 1.5%

• Segmentation: 50% waste • Paging: 1.5% waste
Providing the Magic Translation Mechanism

• On per page basis, we need to change a virtual address to a physical address

• Needs to be fast
  – So we’ll use hardware

• The Memory Management Unit (MMU)
  – A piece of hardware designed to perform the magic quickly
Virtual page number is used as an index into the page table.

Valid bit is checked to ensure that this virtual page number is legal.

Selected entry contains physical page number.

Offset within page remains the same.

Page Table

Virtual address

Physical address

Page #

Offset
Some Examples

Virtual address

| 0005 | 3E28 |

Physical address

| 0C20 |

Hmm, no address
Why might that happen?
And what can we do about it?

Page Table

| V   | 0C20 |
| V   | 0105 |
| V   | 00A1 |
| 0   |      |
| V   | 041F |
| 0   |      |
| V   | 0D10 |
| V   | 0AC3 |
The MMU Hardware

- MMUs used to sit between the CPU and bus
  - Now they are typically integrated into the CPU
- What about the page tables?
  - Originally implemented in special fast registers
  - But there’s a problem with that today
  - If we have 4K pages, and a 64 Gbyte memory, how many pages are there?
    - \(2^{36}/2^{12} = 2^{24}\)
    - Or 16 M of pages
    - We can’t afford 16 M of fast registers
Handling Big Page Tables

• 16 M entries in a page table means we can’t use registers
• So now they are stored in normal memory
• But we can’t afford 2 bus cycles for each memory access
  – One to look up the page table entry
  – One to get the actual data
• So we have a very fast set of MMU registers used as a cache
  – Which means we need to worry about hit ratios, cache invalidation, and other nasty issues
  – TANSTAAFL
The MMU and Multiple Processes

- There are several processes running
- Each needs a set of pages
- We can put any page anywhere
- But if they need, in total, more pages than we’ve physically got,
- Something’s got to go
- How do we handle these ongoing paging requirements?
Ongoing MMU Operations

• What if the current process adds or removes pages?
  – Directly update active page table in memory
  – Privileged instruction to flush (stale) cached entries

• What if we switch from one process to another?
  – Maintain separate page tables for each process
  – Privileged instruction loads pointer to new page table
  – A reload instruction flushes previously cached entries

• How to share pages between multiple processes?
  – Make each page table point to same physical page
  – Can be read-only or read/write sharing
So Is Paging Perfect?

• Pages are a very nice memory allocation unit
  – They eliminate internal and external fragmentation
  – They require a very simple but powerful MMU

• They are not a particularly natural unit of data
  – Programmers don’t think in terms of pages
  – Programs are comprised of, and operate on, segments
  – Segments are the natural “chunks” of virtual address space
    • E.g., we map a new segment into the virtual address space
  – Each code, data, stack segment contains many pages
Paging and Segmentation

• We can use both segments and pages
• Programs request segments
  – Each code, data, stack segment contains many pages
• Requires two levels of memory management abstraction
  – A virtual address space is comprised of segments
  – Relocation & swapping is done on a page basis
  – Segment based addressing, with page based relocation
• User processes see segments, paging is invisible
Segments and Pages

- A segment is a named collection of pages
  - With contiguous virtual addresses

- Operations on segments:
  - Create/open/destroy
  - Map/unmap segment to/from process
  - Find physical page number of virtual page $n$

- Connection between paging & segmentation
  - Segment mapping implemented with page mapping
  - Pagefaulting uses segments to find requested page
Segmentation on Top of Paging

Segment base registers:
- cs
- ds
- es
- ss

Process physical address space:

Diagram shows the relationship between segment base registers and the physical address space.
Swapping

- Segmented paging allows us to have (physically) non-contiguous allocations
  - Virtual addresses in one segment still contiguous
- But it still limits us to the size of physical RAM
- How can we avoid that?
- By keeping some segments somewhere else
- Where?
- Maybe on a disk
Swapping Segments To Disk

- An obvious strategy to increase effective memory size
- When a process yields, copy its segments to disk
- When it is scheduled, copy them back
- Paged segments mean we need not put any of this data in the same place as before yielding
- Each process could see a memory space as big as the total amount of RAM
Downsides To Segment Swapping

• If we actually move everything out, the costs of a context switch are very high
  – Copy all of RAM out to disk
  – And then copy other stuff from disk to RAM
  – Before the newly scheduled process can do anything

• We’re still limiting processes to the amount of RAM we actually have
  – Even overlays could do better than that
Demand Paging

- What is paging?
  - What problem does it solve?
  - How does it do so?
- Locality of reference
- Page faults and performance issues
What Is Demand Paging?

• A process doesn’t actually need all its pages in memory to run
• It only needs those it actually references
• So, why bother loading up all the pages when a process is scheduled to run?
• And, perhaps, why get rid of all of a process’ pages when it yields?
• Move pages onto and off of disk “on demand”
How To Make Demand Paging Work

• The MMU must support “not present” pages
  – Generates a fault/trap when they are referenced
  – OS can bring in page and retry the faulted reference

• Entire process needn’t be in memory to start running
  – Start each process with a subset of its pages
  – Load additional pages as program demands them

• The big challenge will be performance
Achieving Good Performance for Demand Paging

• Demand paging will perform poorly if most memory references require disk access
  – Worse than bringing in all the pages at once, maybe

• So we need to be sure most don’t

• How?

• By ensuring that the page holding the next memory reference is already there
  – Almost always
Demand Paging and Locality of Reference

• How can we predict what pages we need in memory?
  – Since they’d better be there when we ask
• Primarily, rely on locality of reference
  – Put simply, the next address you ask for is likely to be close to the last address you asked for
• Do programs typically display locality of reference?
• Fortunately, yes!
Reasons Why Locality of Reference Works

• For program instructions?
• For stack access?
• For data access?
Instruction Locality of Reference

• Code usually executes sequences of consecutive instructions
• Most branches tend to be relatively short distances (into code in the same routine)
• Even routine calls tend to come in clusters
  – E.g., we’ll do a bunch of file I/O, then we’ll do a bunch of list operations
Stack Locality of Reference

• Obvious locality here
• We typically need access to things in the current stack frame
  – Either the most recently created one
  – Or one we just returned to from another call
• Since the frames usually aren’t huge, obvious locality here
Heap Data Locality of Reference

• Many data references to recently allocated buffers or structures
  – E.g., creating or processing a message
• Also common to do a great deal of processing using one data structure
  – Before using another
• But more chances for non-local behavior than with code or the stack
Page Faults

• Page tables no longer necessarily contain points to pages of RAM
• In some cases, the pages are not in RAM, at the moment
  – They’re out on disk
• When a program requests an address from such a page, what do we do?
• Generate a page fault
  – Which is intended to tell the system to go get it
Handling a Page Fault

- Initialize page table entries to “not present”
- CPU faults if “not present” page is referenced
  - Fault enters kernel, just like any other trap
  - Forwarded to page fault handler
  - Determine which page is required, where it resides
  - Schedule I/O to fetch it, then block the process
  - Make page table point at newly read-in page
  - Back up user-mode PC to retry failed instruction
  - Return to user-mode and try again
- Meanwhile, other processes can run
Pages and Secondary Storage

• When not in memory, pages live on secondary storage
  – Typically a disk
  – In an area called “swap space”

• How do we manage swap space?
  – As a pool of variable length partitions?
    • Allocate a contiguous region for each process
  – As a random collection of pages?
    • Just use a bit-map to keep track of which are free
  – As a file system?
    • Create a file per process (or segment)
    • File offsets correspond to virtual address offsets
Swap Space and Segments

• Should the swap space be organized somehow by segments?
• A paging MMU eliminates need to store consecutive virtual pages in contiguous physical pages
• But locality of reference suggests pages in segments are likely to be used together
• Disk pays a big performance penalty particularly for spreading operations across multiple cylinders
• Well-clustered allocation may lead to more efficient I/O when we are moving pages in and out
• Organizing swap by segments can help
Demand Paging Performance

- Page faults may result in shorter time slices
  - Standard overhead/response-time tradeoff

- Overhead (fault handling, paging in and out)
  - Process is blocked while we are reading in pages
  - Delaying execution and consuming cycles
  - Directly proportional to the number of page faults

- Key is having the “right” pages in memory
  - Right pages -> few faults, little paging activity
  - Wrong pages -> many faults, much paging

- We can’t control what pages we read in
  - Key to performance is choosing which to kick out
Virtual Memory

• A generalization of what demand paging allows
• A form of memory where the system provides a useful abstraction
  – A very large quantity of memory
  – For each process
  – All directly accessible via normal addressing
  – At a speed approaching that of actual RAM
• The state of the art in modern memory abstractions
The Basic Concept

• Give each process an address space of immense size
  – Perhaps as big as your hardware’s word size allows
• Allow processes to request segments within that space
• Use dynamic paging and swapping to support the abstraction
• The key issue is how to create the abstraction when you don’t have that much real memory
The Key VM Technology: Replacement Algorithms

• The goal is to have each page already in memory when a process accesses it

• We can’t know ahead of time what pages will be accessed

• We rely on locality of access
  – In particular, to determine what pages to move out of memory and onto disk

• If we make wise choices, the pages we need in memory will still be there
The Basics of Page Replacement

• We keep some set of all possible pages in memory
  – Perhaps not all belonging to the current process
• Under some circumstances, we need to replace one of them with another page that’s on disk
  – E.g., when we have a page fault
• Paging hardware and MMU translation allows us to choose any page for ejection to disk
• Which one of them should go?
The Optimal Replacement Algorithm

• Replace the page that will be next referenced furthest in the future

• Why is this the right page?
  – It delays the next page fault as long as possible
  – Fewer page faults per unit time = lower overhead

• A slight problem:
  – We would need an oracle to know which page this algorithm calls for
  – And we don’t have one
Do We Require Optimal Algorithms?

• Not absolutely
• What’s the consequence of the algorithm being wrong?
  – We take an extra page fault that we shouldn’t have
  – Which is a performance penalty, not a program correctness penalty
  – Often an acceptable tradeoff
• The more often we’re right, the fewer page faults we take
Approximating the Optimal

• Rely on locality of reference
• Note which pages have recently been used
  – Perhaps with extra bits in the page tables
  – Updated when the page is accessed
• Use this data to predict future behavior
• If locality of reference holds, the pages we accessed recently will be accessed again soon
Candidate Replacement Algorithms

• Random, FIFO
  – These are dogs, forget ‘em

• Least Frequently Used
  – Sounds better, but it really isn’t

• Least Recently Used
  – Assert that near future will be like the recent past
  – If we haven’t used a page recently, we probably won’t use it soon
  – The computer science equivalent to the “unseen hand”
How To Evaluate Page Replacement Algorithms

• We can’t predict the future, so we approximate
• Which algorithm approximates best?
• Based on the number of page faults each gets while executing a standard test
• What should the standard test be?
  – Different algorithms will behave very differently in different situations
• To test replacement algorithms, you need a clear notion of what your load is like
Naïve LRU

• Each time a page is accessed, record the time
• When you need to eject a page, look at all timestamps for pages in memory
• Choose the one with the oldest timestamp
• Will require us to store timestamps somewhere
• And to search all timestamps every time we need to eject a page
# True LRU Page Replacement

## Reference stream

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>13</th>
<th>14</th>
<th>15</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>c</td>
<td>d</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>d</td>
<td>e</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>c</td>
<td>d</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>e</td>
<td>d</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Page table using true LRU

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frame 0</th>
<th>Frame 1</th>
<th>Frame 2</th>
<th>Frame 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a ! f d</td>
<td>b ! a !</td>
<td>c e c</td>
<td>d ! b e</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Loads 4**

**Replacements 7**
Maintaining Information for LRU

• Can we keep it in the MMU?
  – MMU notes the time whenever a page is referenced
  – MMU translation must be blindingly fast
    • Getting/storing time on every fetch would be very expensive
  – At best they will maintain a *read* and a *written* bit per page

• Can we maintain this information in software?
  – Mark all pages invalid, even if they are in memory
  – Take a fault first time each page is referenced, note the time
  – Then mark this page valid for the rest of the time slice
  – Causing page faults to reduce the number of page faults???

• We need a **cheap** software surrogate for LRU
  – No extra page faults
  – Can’t scan entire list each time, since it’s big
Clock Algorithms

• A surrogate for LRU
• Organize all pages in a circular list
• MMU sets a reference bit for the page on access
• Scan whenever we need another page
  – For each page, ask MMU if page has been referenced
  – If so, reset the reference bit in the MMU & skip this page
  – If not, consider this page to be the least recently used
  – Next search starts from this position, not head of list
• Use position in the scan as a surrogate for age
• No extra page faults, usually scan only a few pages
Clock Algorithm Page Replacement

Reference Stream

| a | b | c | d | a | b | d | e | f | a | b | c | d | a | e | d |

LRU clock

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>13</th>
<th>14</th>
<th>15</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>f</td>
<td></td>
<td>d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>e</td>
<td>b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Frame clock

| 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |

True LRU

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>frame 0</th>
<th>frame 1</th>
<th>frame 2</th>
<th>frame 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>c</td>
<td>d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>c</td>
<td>e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>d</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>e</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Loads 4

Replacements 7
Comparing True LRU To Clock Algorithm

• Same number of loads and replacements
  – But didn’t replace the same pages
• What, if anything, does that mean?
• Both are just approximations to the optimal
• If LRU clock’s decisions are 98% as good as true LRU
  – And can be done for 1% of the cost (in hardware and cycles)
  – It’s a bargain!
Page Replacement and Multiprogramming

• We don’t want to clear out all the page frames on each context switch
• How do we deal with sharing page frames?
• Possible choices:
  – Single global pool
  – Fixed allocation of page frames per process
  – Working set-based page frame allocations
Single Global Page Frame Pool

• Treat the entire set of page frames as a shared resource
• Approximate LRU for the entire set
• Replace whichever process’ page is LRU
• Probably a mistake
  – Bad interaction with round-robin scheduling
  – The guy who was last in the scheduling queue will find all his pages swapped out
  – And not because he isn’t using them
  – When he gets in, lots of page faults
Per-Process Page Frame Pools

• Set aside some number of page frames for each running process
  – Use an LRU approximation separately for each

• How many page frames per process?

• Fixed number of pages per process is bad
  – Different processes exhibit different locality
    • Which pages are needed changes over time
    • Number of pages needed changes over time
  – Much like different natural scheduling intervals

• We need a dynamic customized allocation
Working Sets

- Give each running process an allocation of page frames matched to its needs
- How do we know what its needs are?
- Use working sets
- Set of pages used by a process in a fixed length sampling window in the immediate past
- Allocate enough page frames to hold each process’ working set
- Each process runs replacement within its own set

¹This definition paraphrased from Peter Denning’s definition
The Natural Working Set Size

Insufficient space leads to huge numbers of page faults

Little marginal benefit for additional space
More, is just “more”.

Number of page faults

Working set size

The sweet spot
Optimal Working Sets

• What is optimal working set for a process?
  – Number of pages needed during next time slice

• What if try to run the process in fewer pages?
  – Needed pages will replace one another continuously
    – This is called *thrashing*

• How can we know what working set size is?
  – By observing the process’ behavior

• Which pages should be in the working-set?
  – No need to guess, the process will fault for them
Implementing Working Sets

• Manage the working set size
  – Assign page frames to each in-memory process
  – Processes page against themselves in working set
  – Observe paging behavior (faults per unit time)
  – Adjust number of assigned page frames accordingly

• Page stealing algorithms
  – E.g., Working Set-Clock
  – Track last use time for each page, for owning process
  – Find page least recently used (by its owner)
  – Processes that need more pages tend to get more
  – Processes that don't use their pages tend to lose them
### Working Set Clock Algorithm

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>page frame</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>13</th>
<th>14</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>referenced</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>process</td>
<td>(P_0)</td>
<td>(P_0)</td>
<td>(P_1)</td>
<td>(P_2)</td>
<td>(P_2)</td>
<td>(P_1)</td>
<td>(P_1)</td>
<td>(P_0)</td>
<td>(P_2)</td>
<td>(P_0)</td>
<td>(P_1)</td>
<td>(P_2)</td>
<td>(P_0)</td>
<td>(P_1)</td>
<td>(P_2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>last ref</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Clock pointer

Current execution times

\[P_0 = 55\qquad P_1 = 75\qquad P_2 = 80\qquad t = 15\]

\(P_0\) gets a fault

- Page 6 was just referenced
- Clear ref bit, update time

Page 7 is \((55-33=22)\) ms old

\(P_0\) replaces his own page
Stealing a Page

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Page frame</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>13</th>
<th>14</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>referenced</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>process</td>
<td>P₀</td>
<td>P₀</td>
<td>P₁</td>
<td>P₂</td>
<td>P₂</td>
<td>P₁</td>
<td>P₁</td>
<td>P₀</td>
<td>P₂</td>
<td>P₀</td>
<td>P₂</td>
<td>P₀</td>
<td>P₀</td>
<td>P₁</td>
<td>P₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>last ref</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>47</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Clock pointer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Current execution times</th>
<th>P₀ = 55</th>
<th>P₁ = 75</th>
<th>P₂ = 80</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

P₀ has been experiencing too many page faults recently

P₀ gets a fault

- page 6 was just referenced
- page 7 is \((55-33=22)\) ms old
- page 8 is \((80-72=8)\) ms old
- page 9 is \((55-54=1)\) ms old
- page 10 is \((75-23=52)\) ms old

P₀ steals this page from P₁
Thrashing

• Working set size characterizes each process
  – How many pages it needs to run for $\tau$ milliseconds

• What if we don’t have enough memory?
  – Sum of working sets exceeds available memory
  – We will thrash unless we do something

• We cannot squeeze working set sizes
  – This will also cause thrashing

• Reduce number of competing processes
  – Swap some of the ready processes out
  – To ensure enough memory for the rest to run

• We can round-robin who is in and out
Pre-Loading

• What happens when a process comes in from disk?

• Pure swapping
  – All pages present before process is run, no page faults

• Pure demand paging
  – Pages are only brought in as needed
  – Fewer pages per process, more processes in memory

• What if we pre-loaded the last working set?
  – Far fewer pages to be read in than swapping
  – Probably the same disk reads as pure demand paging
  – Far fewer initial page faults than pure demand paging
Doesn’t This Compromise LRU?

- Why wouldn’t a process already have its working set in memory?
- Because it was swapped out to prevent thrashing
- Pre-fetching is not trying to be smarter than LRU
- It is merely resetting a process’ working set to what it was before we swapped it out
Clean Vs. Dirty Pages

• Consider a page, recently paged in from disk
  – There are two copies, one on disk, one in memory

• If the in-memory copy has not been modified, there is still a valid copy on disk
  – The in-memory copy is said to be “clean”
  – Clean pages can be replaced without writing them back to disk

• If the in-memory copy has been modified, the copy on disk is no longer up-to-date
  – The in-memory copy is said to be “dirty”
  – If swapped out of memory, must be written to disk
Dirty Pages and Page Replacement

• Clean pages can be replaced at any time
  – The copy on disk is already up to date
• Dirty pages must be written to disk before the frame can be reused
  – A slow operation we don’t want to wait for
• Could only swap out clean pages
  – But that would limit flexibility
• How to avoid being hamstrung by too many dirty page frames in memory?
Pre-Emptive Page Laundering

- Clean pages give memory scheduler flexibility
  - Many pages that can, if necessary, be replaced
- We can increase flexibility by converting dirty pages to clean ones
- Ongoing background write-out of dirty pages
  - Find and write-out all dirty, non-running pages
    - No point in writing out a page that is actively in use
    - On assumption we will eventually have to page out
    - Make them clean again, available for replacement
- An outgoing equivalent of pre-loading
Paging and Shared Segments

• Some memory segments will be shared
  – Shared memory, executables, DLLs

• Created/managed as mappable segments
  – One copy mapped into multiple processes
  – Demand paging same as with any other pages
  – Secondary home may be in a file system

• Shared pages don't fit working set model
  – May not be associated with just one process
  – Global LRU may be more appropriate
  – Shared pages often need/get special handling
The OS and Virtual Memory

• The OS needs physical memory of its own
• How does that fit into the VM model?
• Kernel address space may be virtual or physical
  – Includes all system code and data structures
  – Also includes mapped I/O space
• Physical memory divided into two classes
  – Most managed as pages, for use by processes
  – Some managed as storage heap for kernel allocation
Moving Data Between Kernel and User Spaces

• Kernel often needs to access user data
  – To access system call parameters
  – To perform read and write system calls
• Kernel may run in a virtual address space
  – Which includes current process' address space
• Kernel may execute with physical addresses
  – Software translation of user-space addresses
Virtual Memory and I/O

- User I/O requests use virtual buffer address
  - How can a device controller find that data?
- Kernel can copy data into physical buffers
  - Accessing user data through standard mechanisms
- Kernel may translate virtual to physical
  - Give device the corresponding physical address
- CPU may include an I/O MMU
  - Page tables to translate virtual addr to physical
  - All DMA I/O references go through the I/O MMU
Scatter/Gather I/O

- Many controllers support DMA transfers
  - Entire transfer must be contiguous in physical memory
- User buffers are in paged virtual memory
  - User buffer may be spread all over physical memory
  - Scatter: read from device to multiple pages
  - Gather: writing from multiple pages to device
- Same three basic approaches are possible
  1. Copy all user data into contiguous physical buffer
  2. Split logical request into chain-scheduled page requests
  3. I/O MMU may automatically handle scatter/gather
Gather Writes From User Memory

Process virtual address space

Physical memory

DMA I/O stream

user I/O buffer
Scatter Reads Into User Buffer

- Process virtual address space
- Physical memory
- DMA I/O stream