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Introduction

- Open architectures allow graceful degradation of applications
- Adaptation requires a new model of reliability
  - Semantic Segmentation
- Prototype implementation in Conductor adaptation framework

Motivation

- Multi-point adaptation
  - Multiple problems can require multiple solutions
  - Adaptation location is not always flexible
- Protect end-to-end connection from failure
- Allow adaptations that alter data

Motivation

- Other approaches
  - Restrict the set of adaptations
    - Protocol Boosters, SNOOP
  - Assume reliability of adapting nodes
    - WTCP, MONGL
  - Provide a robust architecture
    - TACC
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Reliability Infrastructure

- Provide adaptation for applications that expect reliable delivery
  - TCP, exactly once delivery
- End-to-end connection built using multi-split-TCP
  - Reliability between points of adaptation
  - Leverage existing technology
  - Adaptation at each node independent of TCP
- Must still address split-TCP issues
Outstanding Issues

- Failure detection and recovery
- Reliability vs. Adaptation

Failure Detection and Recovery

- Possible failures: adaptors, nodes, links
- Node and link failures detected as TCP connection failures
- Failure modes
  - Potential data loss
  - Partial adaptation of data
  - Lost adaptor state
  - Adaptor consistency

Adaptation vs. Reliability

- Adaptation violates end-to-end reliability semantics
- End-to-end reliability typically assumes data immutability
  - Retransmission by byte or packet count
- Adaptation modifies data in transit
  - Need a new unit of retransmission
Solution Components
- Semantic Segmentation
- Adaptor consistency maintenance

Semantic Segmentation
- Semantic Segmentation a semantically meaningful unit of retransmission
  - Divide stream into semantic units
    - Dynamically and automatically, by adaptors
  - Preserve semantic meaning of each segment end-to-end
    - Maintained by segment combination
  - Allows adaptors to express recovery constraints
Rules of Segmentation

- Start with one byte segments
- Constrain each stream modification to one segment
- Combine segments where necessary
  - Not reversible
  - New segment contains combined semantic meaning
- Final delivery of complete segments only

Benefits of Segmentation

- Service guarantees:
  - Transaction-like adaptation (all or nothing)
  - Exactly-once delivery of an acceptable form of each semantic element
- Other reliability models are possible
Adaptor Consistency

- Adaptor state not saved across failure
  - Ex: decompression dictionary, decryption key
  - Replacement adaptors will be out of sync
- Recovery
  - Locate/remove partners of failed adaptors
  - Remove adaptors that depended on the presence of a failed partner
  - Optionally re-deploy failed and removed adaptors

Conductor Design Goals

- Application-level, connection-oriented protocol adaptation
- Support heterogeneous networks
- Application transparent
- Automatic, but user controllable
- Arbitrary adaptations
- Easy-to-deploy adaptations
- Reliable

A Conductor-Enabled Node

Concluding Remarks

- Many open architectures allow distributed adaptation
- Adaptation need not and should not reduce the reliability of the system
  - Requires a new reliability model
- Conductor is a prototype of the proposed solution